

1 **Tropical Cyclones in the 7km NASA Global Nature Run for use in**
2 **Observing System Simulation Experiments**

3 Oreste Reale^{* †}, Deepthi Achuthavarier[‡],
4 Marangelly Fuentes[§], William M. Putman, and Gary Partyka[¶]

5 *Global Modeling and Assimilation Office, NASA, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA*

6 ^{*}*Corresponding author address:* Oreste Reale, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 610.8,
7 Greenbelt, MD 20771.

8 E-mail: oreste.reale-1@nasa.gov

9 [†]Universities Space Research Association, Goddard Earth Sciences, Technology and Research,
10 Columbia, Maryland, USA

11 [‡]Universities Space Research Association, Goddard Earth Sciences, Technology and Research,
12 Columbia, Maryland, USA

13 [§]Science Systems and Applications, Inc., Greenbelt, Maryland, USA

14 [¶]Science Systems and Applications, Inc., Greenbelt, Maryland, USA

15 The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Nature
16 Run (NR), released for use in Observing System Simulation Experiments
17 (OSSEs), is a 2-year long global non-hydrostatic free-running simulation at
18 a horizontal resolution of 7 km, forced by observed sea-surface temperatures
19 (SSTs) and sea ice, and inclusive of interactive aerosols and trace gases. This
20 article evaluates the NR with respect to tropical cyclone (TC) activity. It is
21 emphasized that to serve as a NR, a long-term simulation must be able to pro-
22 duce realistic TCs, which arise out of realistic large-scale forcings. The pres-
23 ence in the NR of the realistic, relevant dynamical features over the African
24 Monsoon region and the tropical Atlantic is confirmed, along with realistic
25 African Easterly Wave activity. The NR Atlantic TC seasons, produced with
26 2005 and 2006 SSTs, show interannual variability consistent with observa-
27 tions, with much stronger activity in 2005. An investigation of TC activity
28 over all the other basins (eastern and western North Pacific, North and South
29 Indian Ocean, and Australian region), together with relevant elements of the
30 atmospheric circulation, such as, for example, the Somali Jet and westerly
31 bursts, reveals that the model captures the fundamental aspects of TC sea-
32 sons in every basin, producing realistic number of TCs with realistic tracks,
33 life spans and structures. This confirms that the NASA NR is a very suitable
34 tool for OSSEs targeting TCs and represents an improvement with respect
35 to previous long simulations that have served the global atmospheric OSSE
36 community.

1. Introduction

Observing Systems Experiments (OSEs), also known as ‘data impact studies’, represent a procedure to explore the impact of an *existing* instrument on a given forecasting capability. OSEs require a comprehensive set of observations, a Data Assimilation System (DAS) and a forecast model. At least two sets of parallel analyses are produced by assimilating a) the comprehensive observational data set (ideally comprising all the data operationally used) and b) the same observational set with or without the data from the specific instrument whose impact is being investigated. Corresponding sets of parallel forecasts are initialized from each set of analyses, so that their different skills can be assessed with various metrics against some validating analyses.

In contrast, Observing Systems *Simulation* Experiments (OSSEs) are often used by atmospheric scientists and instrument developers to evaluate the potential impact of a *future* instrument. With respect to OSEs, an OSSE framework requires a ‘Nature Run’ (NR) and a methodology for simulating realistic observations, in addition to the DAS and forecast model. A NR is a free-running simulation produced by a state-of-the-art model, and is supposed to satisfy many stringent requirements, one being ‘a realistic climatology consisting of *realistic weather patterns*’ (McCarty et al. 2012). The NR is needed to extract simulated synthetic observations of a future sensor which are assimilated, together with the simulated observations of the existing sensors, in the DAS, producing sets of analyses from which forecasts can be issued.

One fundamental difference between OSEs and OSSEs is that in OSSEs the ‘true’ atmospheric state is precisely known from the NR. Consequently, instrument errors can be explicitly formulated and OSSEs can be also used to explore analysis error statistics of already existing observing systems (Errico et al. 2007). For a comprehensive review of OSSEs see, among others, Errico et

al. (2013), Privé et al. (2013a), Privé et al (2013b), Atlas et al. (2015), Ma et al. (2015), Hoffman and Atlas (2016).

The purpose of this article is to evaluate the realism of the new 7-km National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) NR with respect to tropical cyclone (TC) activity. Two caveats are necessary. First of all, it is important to clarify that different Instrument Science Teams may have different requirements for a NR to be considered realistic. This particular assessment aims at demonstrating that this new NR can: a) produce realistic TCs from realistic large-scale forcings and b) represent features at scales of about 15 km around TCs. As such, the Science Team for Cyclone Global Navigation Satellite System (CYGNSS) or other teams focused on comparable future instruments could benefit from this NR to produce realistic OSSEs focused on the prediction of wind features around high-impact weather systems, such as TCs and intense extratropical disturbances. Teams performing OSSEs for measurements at much higher resolution could still benefit from this NR by using it as a forcing for downscaled simulations, strategy previously documented by Nolan et al. (2013).

Second, the terms ‘evaluation’ and ‘assessment’ are preferred to ‘validation’ in this work. The reason is that a NR cannot strictly be ‘validated’ as an actual forecast can be. In fact, being a free simulation forced by sea surface temperatures (SSTs) and sea ice, weather events in a NR cannot match corresponding actual weather events, since the memory of initial conditions is lost within a few weeks. Time in the NR does not correspond to factual time, except that we may expect some statistical similarity on an interannual basis due to the real SST and sea ice that are used. The evaluation of NR therefore comprises two steps: an overall assessment of its statistical properties, as complete as possible (which is not the subject of this article), and a verification that these statistics arise out of instantaneously ‘meaningful’ states of the atmosphere. A phenomenological approach showcasing comparisons between weather events in the NR and weather events in the

real world is one way to investigate instantaneous states of the atmosphere. These comparisons are the focus of this article.

The article is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses TCs as detected in previous NRs; Section 3 provides a general description of the new NASA NR and of the extensive team evaluation effort which has already been carried out; Section 4 focuses on an examination of NR TC activity compared to observations over the various basins (Atlantic, eastern North Pacific, western North Pacific, North Indian and South Indian Oceans, Australian region). Elements of the circulation which are important in TC formation or in controlling the TC evolution are also discussed. Lastly, Section 5 states the conclusions of this work.

2. Tropical Cyclone Activity and Structure in previous Nature Runs

Considering the cost of spaceborne instruments, a realistic estimate of their potential benefit is exceptionally important, hence the ‘political’ and economical implications of OSSEs. However, in order to be realistic and credible, a standardized OSSE framework would be desirable. OSSEs do not provide the desired benefit if different investigators perform them for the same instrument and obtain contrasting results.

An important source of discrepancy in OSSEs can arise out of the use of different NRs. Even if the same NR is used, OSSEs credibility could be hindered by the use of: a) NRs whose quality is not sufficiently good or whose resolution is inadequate; b) NRs whose realism have not been investigated in depth; c) NRs which are too close to the forecast model, potentially resulting in the so-called ‘identical twin problem’ (Atlas 1997).

Ideally, the NR should be as far from the forecast model as the true atmosphere (Hoffman et al., 1990) is. Aside from the difficulty of attaining this goal, the creation of a NR for widespread use is a nontrivial matter. The NR should be among the best possible simulations available at a given

time. It should also be evaluated by, distributed to, and shared with, a large OSSE community. To produce a global Nature Run with these requirements is a demanding and extraordinarily computationally expensive task that only few centers in the world can afford. For this, among other reasons, multi-agency collaborations to standardize OSSEs were attempted as early as the mid-80s (e.g., Atlas et al. 1985; Arnold and Dey 1986). With this frame of mind, a renewed international informal collaboration, often referred to as ‘Joint OSSE’ project, between scientists in different agencies and centers including, but not limited to, the European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), the National Ocean and Atmosphere Administration (NOAA), NASA, was initiated in the mid 2000s (Masutani et al. 2007; Kleist and Ide 2015). As part of this collaborative effort, the ECMWF produced and released in 2006 a one-year long NR to serve the OSSE community.

The ECMWF NR, hereafter referred to the ECMWF T511 NR, was produced at a T511 wave truncation, corresponding to an actual resolution of about 40 km at the Equator and was documented, among several others, by Reale et al. (2007), Masutani et al. (2010), Andersson and Matusani (2010) and McCarty et al. (2012). Amidst many outstanding and unprecedented qualities, the ECMWF T511 NR was arguably considered the first free-running long simulation, forced by prescribed 2005 SSTs and sea-ice, which produced a realistic depiction of TC activity. The TC activity was considered ‘realistic’ because: a) the average climatological factors that are conducive to cyclogenesis were present, and b) the frequency, distribution, life cycle, and track of TCs were within observed climatological values. The team evaluation of the ECMWF T511 NR demonstrated not only that TCs were present, but that they originated out of realistic and very specific weather patterns associated with TC genesis in reality. The evaluation also showed that TCs produced by the ECMWF T511 NR underwent realistic evolution and decay, including dissipation, landfall, extra-tropical transitions and binary vortex interaction. Moreover, individual TCs

130 displayed an overall realistic structure in terms of vertical alignment, presence of a warm-core,
131 low-level winds in excess of 50 m s^{-1} and an eye-like feature (i.e., a virtually windless column),
132 as shown by Reale et al. (2007). While the eye-like feature was broader and more diluted than a
133 real TC eye, due to limited T511 horizontal resolution, the ECMWF T511 NR nevertheless repre-
134 sented a remarkable modeling achievement and has been serving as an invaluable tool for several
135 years. Among others, the NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory OSSE capability (Privé et al.
136 2013c), the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO) OSSE baseline (Errico et
137 al. 2013) and framework (Privé et al. 2013b) had been built on the ECMWF T511 NR.

138 However, since 2006, with the exponential growth in high-end computing resources, the associ-
139 ated increase in global models' resolution, and the steady augmentation of new sensors' capabili-
140 ties, there are multiple reasons to create a new nature run. NASA has a special interest in OSSEs,
141 because they enable instrument developers to conceive, justify and develop new sensors. Aside
142 from assisting the design of a future sensor, OSSEs are also an essential tool for Science Teams
143 of instruments which are already designed and scheduled to launch, but not in space yet, because
144 OSSEs can be used to design, develop, and test the new data assimilation procedures needed to
145 maximize the lifetime utility of the new sensor. An example, documented by Annane et al. (2015),
146 is focused on the mission CYGNSS, which has an expected launch in October 2016.

147 Pressed by these needs, a number of long simulations at increasing resolutions have been pro-
148 duced over the years by the GMAO with a similar configuration to the ECMWF T511 NR: initial-
149 ization in May 2005, prescribed SSTs and sea ice, but with the integration extending more than
150 two years in order to have a measure of some interannual variability. Comprehensive assessments
151 were performed by this and other teams.

152 From the point of view of TCs, which are the focus of this article, particularly noteworthy
153 was the 2-year cubed-sphere c720 simulation at 14-km horizontal resolution (Putman and Suarez

2011). It represented an important advance, in that it produced not only a reasonable number of TCs, but also a very good representation of the interannual variability in TC activity observed between 2005 and 2006. Additional GMAO two-year simulations with the same settings, but at 10 km resolution, were also produced and provided further improvement (not shown). These long simulations and others were all evaluated as potential next-generation NRs but ended up representing only intermediate steps towards the NR that eventually was publicly released in 2015 by the GMAO, and which is the subject of this investigation.

3. The 7-km NASA Nature Run

a. General description and comprehensive team evaluation

The new NASA NR, produced with a cubed-sphere non-hydrostatic mesoscale version of the Global Earth Observing System, version 5, (GEOS-5) model, is described in great detail in the comprehensive NASA Technical Memorandum (Gelaro et al. 2015), which is a public document available online. The GEOS-5 NR (hereafter referred to as G5NR) was run with a cubed-sphere geometry of 1440×1440 grid cells ($c1440$) within each of the six faces of the gnomonic cube-sphere grid (Putman and Lin 2007) nearly uniformly distributed around the globe. This corresponds to a horizontal resolution of about 7km around the equator [$40,000\text{ km}/(1440 \times 4 \text{ grid cells}) \approx 7\text{ km}$]. The G5NR is thus capable of partially resolving features as small as mesoscale complexes and TCs.

A major collaborative effort, involving several months of work by a multidisciplinary team of about 25 scientists, was necessary to evaluate the G5NR. As part of this effort, Putman (2015) provides a general overview of the model aspects and an overall description of the type of phenomena that can be represented in the simulation. Privé et al (2015) give a general statistical evaluation

176 of wind and temperature, inclusive of spectral analysis, comparing it with reanalysis data and
177 confirming the overall realism of the NR. Molod et al. (2015) investigate in depth humidity and
178 precipitation fields, comparing the G5NR with both reanalyses and observational data sets. Draper
179 et al. (2015) investigates the surface characteristics from land, ocean and ice perspectives. Norris
180 et al. (2015) performs an evaluation of clouds and radiation in the NR against Clouds and the
181 Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES) and Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization
182 (CALIOP) data. Ott et al. (2015) produces an assessment of the representation and realism of
183 aerosol and trace gases in the NR. It should be emphasized that the treatment of radiatively active
184 aerosols and trace gases is a novel feature for the OSSE community, made possible by the inclu-
185 sion of the Goddard Chemistry, Aerosol, Radiation and Transport Model (GOCART, Chin et al.
186 2002), which is coupled with the GEOS-5 radiation code (Colarco et al. 2010). From the perspec-
187 tive of TCs, which are the subject of this article, previous work had demonstrated that the impact
188 of interactive treatment of Saharan dust improves the representation of the African Easterly Jet in
189 the GEOS-5 (Reale et al. 2011) and affects tropical cyclogenetic processes (Reale et al. 2014).

190 Aside from the evaluation of a NR's comprehensive statistical properties, which may differ
191 up to a certain acceptable threshold (within observed natural variability) from the corresponding
192 properties of the real atmosphere, it is important to evaluate any NR from a phenomenological
193 perspective, i.e., focusing on snapshots of specific weather events. While these events cannot cor-
194 respond to actual events occurred in the real world, they nevertheless must constitute an acceptable
195 representation of something 'possible', falling within the range of observed phenomena.

196 In fact, OSSEs are often carried out by targeting *one* specific weather event simulated in the NR.
197 Analyses are created by assimilating synthetic observations extracted from NR states preceding
198 that specific event, and forecasts can be initialized from those analyses. Therefore, an OSSE can

give information on whether the addition of a new sensor can enhance the ability to ‘predict’ that event, using the NR as a validating ‘truth’.

b. Weather phenomena and possible use of the G5NR

Aside from TCs, which are the subject of this article, it is important to mention that the G5NR has been investigated also from the point of view of other weather phenomena that may be of interest to scientists developing OSSEs.

For example, Putman (2015), discussing resolved features, finds great realism in the overall distribution of extra-tropical cyclone track and genesis location. Of interest for future OSSEs targeting instruments focused on frozen precipitation, is the study of intense baroclinic winter cyclones, such as, for example, US mid-Atlantic snowstorms. In this regard, Putman (2015) showcases an example of a simulated major US east-coast snowstorm whose track and accumulated precipitation bear a remarkable similarity with observational records (e.g., Kocin and Uccellini 2005).

Another example of a well-reproduced phenomena are several cases of Extra-Tropical Transitions (ETs). During ET, a warm-cored cyclone evolves into a larger scale baroclinic system through a number of transformations that include, among others, a change in its primary energy source from latent heat to baroclinic energy conversion processes (e.g, Sinclair 1993; Kyle and Bosart 2014). A very representative case is highlighted in the comprehensive NASA Tech Memo (Gelaro et al. 2015, Figure 4.31), in which a deep warm-core tropical cyclone undergoing ET is shown.

Several mesoscale structures outside the deep tropics are generally missed or mis-represented in low-resolution global models. For example, high-latitude sub-synoptic scale vortices such as polar lows and Mediterranean tropical-cyclone like storms display similarities with tropical cyclones, including some level of vertical alignment, the presence of an eye-like feature, the prominent role

222 played by convection, and latent and sensible total heat fluxes which can reach values comparable
223 to hurricanes, albeit with larger contribution of sensible heat than latent heat (e.g., Reale and Atlas
224 2001; Rasmussen and Turner 2003). While the investigation of this type of event is outside the goal
225 of this article and cannot be shown here, polar lows have been noted in the G5NR and they could
226 therefore be targets for OSSEs. In fact, Putman (2015) shows the global distribution of tropical
227 cyclone tracks obtained with a cyclone tracker which detects convective cyclones and requires,
228 among other parameters, the presence of a warm core and vertical alignment. The tracker, aside
229 from displaying purely tropical cyclones, shows some activity in the high latitudes, for example
230 between Iceland and Greenland and on the Labrador Sea (Putman, his Figs. 1.13 and 1.14), where
231 polar lows are often observed (e.g., Forsythe and Haynes 2015). The storms' intensities in the NR
232 range predominantly within the tropical storm level.

233 Finally, evidence of realistic mesoscale convective complex (MCC) activity in the G5NR is
234 provided by Putman (2015, his Fig. 1.16), showcasing the similarity between one observed MCC
235 over the central US and one MCC produced by the G5NR, and also documenting a distribution of
236 MCCs during the period May-June 2005-2006 in the NR, which compares well with composite
237 geostationary IR observations (his Fig. 1.17).

238 **4. Tropical Cyclone Activity and Structure in the G5 Nature Run**

239 *a. Tropical Cyclones in the Atlantic*

240 With TCs the reasonable target of many future instruments, OSSEs have and will often be per-
241 formed to investigate the potential use of such measurements to improve TC forecasts (e.g., Privé
242 et al. 2014). For this reason, it is of paramount importance that TC activity, life cycle and struc-
243 ture are realistic in the NR. Following the same strategy which was previously adopted by Reale

et al. (2007) to evaluate tropical cyclones in the ECMWF T511 NR, it is important to first verify that TCs occur in the G5NR not as sporadic or localized events, but as a realistic consequence of large-scale forcings in a manner comparable to reality. The preliminary step is to verify that the climatology of the main dynamical factors over the African Monsoon region and the tropical Atlantic is well represented. Modern-Era Reanalysis for Research and Applications, version 2 (MERRA-2), described and documented by Bosilovich et al. (2015a, b) and by Wargan and Coy (2016), is used for comparison. MERRA-2 is the new generation of the well-known MERRA (Rienecker et al. 2011) which has been successfully used, among many others, in studies concerning the meteorology of the African monsoon and tropical Atlantic region (e.g., Wu et al. 2012, Wu et al. 2013).

Figure 1 shows a meridional vertical cross-section of zonal wind at 0° , comparing the NR in the July, August, and September (JAS) months of two different years with the corresponding MERRA-2 years. It is worth stressing that a comparison of monthly means cannot be interpreted as an actual seasonal forecasting validation. Since the NR is a free-running simulation constrained by SSTs and sea ice, and in which the memory of initial conditions is removed by the sufficiently long spin-up, a strict correspondence with observed means can not and should not be expected. It can only be noted that the NR represents the basic features of the African Monsoon circulation, namely: a) the Tropical Easterly Jet (TEJ), an upper-tropospheric jet located close to the Equator at about 100-200 hPa, b) the African Easterly Jet (AEJ) at about 600 hPa and peaking at about $12^\circ N - 16^\circ N$, c) the low-level westerly monsoonal flow confined below 800 hPa, and, d) the low-level easterly flow (also known as Harmatthan flow) at about $27^\circ N$. The overall depiction of the AEJ in the NR is about 15% weaker than in MERRA-2, but it should be remembered that the AEJ depiction is affected by very large uncertainties, with differences of 20% in speed even among *state-of-the-art* reanalyses such as the ECMWF Reanalysis-40 (ERA-40), the National Centers for Environmental

268 Predictions, Reanalysis 2, (NCEP-R2), the Japanese 25-year Reanalysis (JRA-25) and MERRA, as
269 discussed in detail in Wu et al. (2009) and in Wu et al. (2012). On the contrary, the representation
270 of the Harmattan flow is stronger in the NR than in MERRA-2. However, since the Harmattan is
271 a low-level, concentrated, easterly flow partly constrained by the orography of the Atlas range on
272 its northern flank (e.g., Nicholson et al. 1996; Nicholson 2013), it is possible that the MERRA-2
273 coarser resolution hinders the Harmattan's representation in the reanalysis.

274 Aside from the intensity, the position of AEJ, TEJ and low-level monsoonal flow is very im-
275 portant because the cyclonically-sheared southward side of the AEJ (in which horizontal shear
276 dominates) is conducive to barotropic instability at about the jet level, while the lower levels just
277 below the AEJ (in which vertical shear dominates) are conducive to baroclinic instability. African
278 Easterly Waves (AEWs) arise out of a combination of mechanisms: the presence of localized
279 triggers, which can be convective in nature and may alter the vorticity and thermal profile of the
280 atmosphere, and the favorable large-scale environment in which barotropic-baroclinic instability
281 of the Charney-Stern type can occur (e.g., Kiladis et al. 2006; Hall et al. 2006; Thorncroft et
282 al. 2008; Wu et al. 2012). Moreover, the presence of the TEJ, which is responsible for strong
283 easterly shear and is generally unfavorable for development of vertically aligned structures, is an
284 important forcing that confines the potential development of TCs to a narrow latitude range (just a
285 few degrees south of the AEJ and north of the TEJ). The presence of all the fundamental elements
286 of the African Monsoon region atmospheric circulation is a good preliminary assurance that the
287 model may be capable of producing realistic weather patterns.

288 The next logical step is to verify whether the NR is able to produce realistic AEW activity.
289 This is a complex issue because at least three types of AEWs are currently known: the 2.5-6 day
290 waves developing to the south of the AEJ at about the jet level, the low-level baroclinic waves
291 developing below the AEJ, and the less-known 6-9 day waves developing at the AEJ level, to the

north of it. For a comprehensive discussion of various types of AEWs, see Wu et al. (2013). The AEWs that are more relevant to TC development are the 2.5-6 day waves developing to the south of the AEJ at about the jet level. In addition, the tracking or definition of AEWs may involve sophisticated objective methodologies (i.e., Berry et al. 2006) or the use of spectral techniques such as the Hilbert-Huang transform (Wu et al. 2013). However, a very simple and immediate way of detecting AEW activity is to plot a latitude-time Hovmöller diagram of the meridional component of the wind at, or slightly below, the jet level, and at a latitude south of the jet.

In Fig. 2 the 700 hPa Hovmöller of the meridional wind, obtained from the NR for the month of August 2005, is plotted for the latitude of $15^{\circ}N$ and for a longitude range spanning from $40^{\circ}W$ to $40^{\circ}E$, to be compared with the same quantity computed from MERRA-2 data. In Fig. 3 the same plot is produced for August 2006. The comparison between the NR and reanalyses in both years reveals that the amplitude, frequency and propagation speed of the AEWs is very similar. In particular, waves occur at a given longitude approximately every 3-6 days and propagate westward at a speed of about $5^{\circ} - 8^{\circ} d^{-1}$. Other features of the AEWs present in both the NR and MERRA-2 include: a) a discontinuity at about $15^{\circ}W$ where disturbances transition from land to ocean and, b) a pronounced diurnal cycle over the continent (evident by the horizontal lines on the easternmost side of the panels). Other realistic features are: a) occasional higher wind speeds, indicating the tendency of some AEWs to develop as TCs, b) upward curvatures (indicating acceleration) and c) disappearance (indicating either dissipation or disturbances which move to the north of the Hovmöller latitude). In general, higher detail and slightly more intense waves are present in the NR due to the higher resolution. The overall similarity between the AEW activity in the NR and in the reanalyses can be found in other months as well (e.g., July and September, not shown).

The next step is to investigate TC number, tracks, distribution and life cycles. Figure 4 shows the tracks and center pressure of TCs in the NR and in the observations for 2005. The corresponding

316 Table 1 shows the storm number, beginning and end dates for each storm, and the minimum
317 center pressure. An important caveat is valid for this and all following figures containing TC
318 tracks and corresponding TC tables for other basins. The storm detection algorithm applied to the
319 NR involves thresholding parameters such as central pressure and presence of a warm core. The
320 results are sensitive to the values of the ‘thresholds’. In particular, it was found that less stringent
321 thresholds in terms of warm-core intensity allow many more (weaker) depressions to be detected
322 as TCs, especially over the Indian Ocean. For clarity, it was decided to use higher ‘thresholds’ in
323 the tracker, concentrate on stronger storms, and use the same more-stringent criteria throughout
324 all basins. This led to a slightly lower total number of TCs. Individual researchers can alter these
325 criteria according to their needs, and may be able to detect a slightly higher number of TCs than
326 the 17 TCs shown in Fig 4, by including some weak systems at a tropical depression intensity
327 level. The choice of thresholds in the detecting algorithm also affects a TC’s life span: a system
328 undergoing transition can be categorized as extra-tropical (or still tropical) with a more (or less)
329 stringent threshold. Since we have consistently used a stringent definition of TC, it should be
330 noted that some TC tracks shown here could be prolonged if less restrictive tracking choices were
331 to be adopted.

332 The observed 2005 TC tracks and center pressures for the Atlantic are obtained from the Na-
333 tional Hurricane Center HURDATA2 best track (BT) database¹ which contains 6-hourly center
334 pressure, winds, and location (Sampson and Schrader 2000). For consistency with other basins,
335 retrospectively classified storms, which are available only in the Atlantic and east Pacific basins,
336 are not included in this plot and table. Also, since weak storms are not tracked in the NR, non-
337 developing depressions present in the database are ignored. Again for consistency with the storms
338 tracked in the NR, only ‘pure’ TCs are plotted, and their extra-tropical transitions are not fol-

¹available at <http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/data/#hurdat>

lowed. Because of these choices, four weak systems in the BT database (AL102005, AL192005, AL212005, AL232005) are not included in Table 1, so that the total number of observed 2005 Atlantic TCs is 27 instead of 31 as in the HURDATA2 database. Similar slight differences between the number of observed TCs listed in this article's tables and in the corresponding BT databases can be noted for other basins.

The comparison between NR and BT TCs shows that the TCs produced by the NR are less than the observed (17 versus 27, the latter number being an all-time record), but also indicates that the track distribution in the NR is very realistic (Figure 4). The majority of the TCs are of the Cape Verde type, moving across the Atlantic and recurving north. Two TCs originate in the Gulf of Mexico, leading to an overall realistic partition between Gulf and Atlantic systems (e.g., Asnani 2005). One system (G5NR 2005 TC 17) originate in the westerlies, which is typical for late-season hurricanes. It is particularly noteworthy that five G5NR TCs reach center pressures of less than 945 hPa, in good agreement with the observations for that year.

In contrast, the NR produces only 10 TCs in the 2006 season, which agrees closely with the 9 TCS observed in the much less active observed 2006 year (Fig .5). It should not be expected that a free-running model forced by SST and sea ice produces the same number of cyclones as in observations, since there are many factors controlling TC frequency other than SST. Moreover, as previously stated, the choice of the detection algorithm affects the TC number. However, it is important that model-generated natural variability does not contradict the observed variability. For reference, it should be noted that the ECMWF NR produced 12 TCs with 2005 SSTs (Reale et al. 2007).

The fact that the interannual variability in the NR has the same sign as the observed one suggests that SST alone, as reasonable to expect, exerts some control on TC number. As noted for 2005,

the distribution of tracks in 2006 is realistic in the NR, with a majority of Cape Verde systems, and four storms forming in the Caribbean or in the Gulf.

In both years the TCs produced by the NR display a life time of a few days to less to almost two weeks. Individual tracks reveal singularities (i.e., discontinuous curvature changes) as well as binary interaction (i.e., two cyclones rotating around a common center with the stronger one moving slower, not shown), all features that are well known to forecasters and that frequently occur in the real atmosphere.

The final step in the investigation consists of examining the individual structure of the most intense storms taken at representative times.

Figure 6 shows a zonal vertical cross-section of wind and temperature across G5NR 2005 Atlantic TC no. 2 (see Fig. 4, hereafter G5NR-AL022005), taken at 1200 UTC 16 August 2005. The expected features of a mature hurricane can be noted: a vertically aligned structure, with wind speeds in excess of 65 m s^{-1} , a well-defined warm core (temperature anomaly greater than 12°C), a scale on the order of few hundred km, a radius of maximum wind on the order of about 40-50 km, and a distinct eye-like feature with a relatively calm windless column. The overall structure is very realistic and represents an improvement with respect to the hurricanes seen in the previous ECMWF T511 NR (Reale et al. 2007, Fig. 4).

The realistic representation of G5NR-AL022005 is not an isolated occurrence in the NR. The subsequent Fig. 7 displays a snapshot of another 2005 hurricane in the NR: no. 12 (hereafter G5NR-AL122005) at a mature development stage. The vertical cross-section again displays realistic features: relatively calm central column, vertical alignment, scale on the order of hundreds of km, radius of maximum wind on the order of tens of km, and pronounced warm core. Interestingly, the snapshot depicting the strongest and most mature hurricane (Fig. 7), whose warm core temperature anomaly exceeds 14°C , is also the one characterized by the tightest and most narrow

386 eye-like feature. The same plot also shows the wind speed at the level of maximum wind, with the
387 isotachs of 17 m s^{-1} , 25 m s^{-1} and 32 m s^{-1} super-imposed. To further appreciate the horizontal
388 scales, a transect of sea level pressure and 10m wind for the same storm is shown in Fig.8. The
389 objective determination of TC scales from observations is a very complex problem that has been
390 discussed, among others, by Chavas and Emanuel (2010), Knaff et al. (2014); Chan and Chan
391 (2015) and Chan et al (2016). While the objective computation of scales for all TCs in the NR
392 exceeds the purpose of this work, it can be stated that, for the storm noted in Figs. 7 and 8, the size
393 appears within the observed range. Moreover, NR TC structures have been investigated at early
394 stage of developments in the Atlantic and in other basins as well (not shown), finding an overall
395 reduction of scale with intensification, a generally larger size for TCs in the western Pacific, in
396 agreement with observations. e.g., Chavas et al. 2016) and also an increase in size with baroclinic
397 transition (Gelaro et al. 2015, Fig. 4.31), also in agreement with observations (e.g. Hart and Evans
398 2001).

399 Another meaningful feature from an OSSE perspective is represented by precipitation structure.
400 Teams designing future sensors to measure precipitation from space may be interested to perform
401 OSSEs on TC-produced precipitation fields. TCs close to landfall in the NR can be qualitatively
402 compared with radar imagery of observed storms whose tracks and intensity are similar. Figure 9
403 shows hourly accumulated precipitation produced by G5NR 2006 TC no. 4 (G5NR-AL042006),
404 and the corresponding precipitation field from Katrina (2005), obtained NEXRAD data level 3
405 (one-hour precipitation totals). G5NR-AL042006 is chosen because of its track comparable to
406 Katrina's one, and a landfall just to the east of New Orleans. The NR produces a reasonably
407 realistic banded structure and an eye size comparable to Katrina's eye at landfall.

409 The seasonal TC activity and the presence of interannual variability has been verified for all the
 410 other basins, paying special attention to the problems typically noted in global models. Especially
 411 for the Pacific, the impossibility of surface fluxes determined by prescribed SST to respond and
 412 adapt to the atmospheric forcings of the simulated TCs present some difficulties. In general, it is
 413 observed that a slow moving TC partially consumes the available heat energy in the underlying
 414 ocean, whereas in the G5NR a slow moving TC over a particularly warm ocean feature will have
 415 a constant energy source. Moreover, in the Pacific, mesoscale coupled ocean-atmosphere fluctu-
 416 ations associated with tropical instability waves add an additional level of complexity to the SST
 417 structure, which cannot be captured without a coupled system (e.g., Zhang and Busalacchi 2009;
 418 Zhang et al. 2014).

419 Figure 10 and Table 3 compare the eastern Pacific TC activity for 2005 in the NR and in ob-
 420 servations, while Fig. 11 and Table 4 depict the corresponding 2006 activity. As for the Atlantic,
 421 some weak or after-analysis storms in the BT database are not included (EP162005 and EP022006,
 422 EP182006 and EP202006). Given the same caveats about the TC detecting and tracking algorithm
 423 previously noted, and the fact that by choosing a less restrictive definition of TC, a larger number
 424 of weak TCs and longer tracks could be detected, the Figures and Tables show that some level of
 425 interannual variability is reproduced by the NR, with more TCs in 2006. In fact, 2005 and 2006
 426 observed TCs were 15 and 18 respectively, versus 8 and 19 in the NR. As for TC genesis, the
 427 most active region is between $90^{\circ}W$ and $120^{\circ}W$ and between $10^{\circ}N$ and $15^{\circ}N$ in both the NR and
 428 observations, with a predominant TC motion towards the west-north-west. However, the presence
 429 of outliers and TCs displaying erratic and/or retrograde motion with respect to the easterly flow,

an aspect well known to forecasters and particularly frequent in 2006 (e.g., Pasch et al. 2009) is not captured very well by the NR, with NR TCs displaying less track variability than observed.

c. Tropical Cyclones in the western North Pacific Ocean

As noted for the eastern North Pacific, the absence of an atmosphere-ocean interaction in the G5NR is a limiting factor. However, in spite of the absence of air-sea interaction, which could be handled only by a fully coupled global model, it should be noted that some important atmospheric circulation elements, which were missing in previous NRs, are partially represented in the G5NR. Among these, the presence of features resembling westerly bursts is particularly remarkable. Figure 12 compares in matching Hovmöller diagrams the June, July and August 2006 zonal wind at the Equator from the NR (model level 70, nominal pressure of about 955 hPa) and from MERRA-2. Normally, a time-longitude plot of unfiltered Equatorial wind across the Pacific should reveal two sets of linear features which represent anomalies propagating in the midst of predominantly easterly flow: peaks of increased easterly speed which travel *within* the easterly flow, moving from east to west, and regions of decreased easterlies (or westerlies) which travel *against* the easterly flow, moving from west to east. When the magnitude of the decrease is stronger than the mean easterly flow, these regions of decreased easterlies appear as pulses of eastward-propagating westerly anomalies that are aptly named ‘westerly bursts’. Westerly bursts are associated with the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO, Madden and Julian 1971, 1972) but are strongly controlled by other factors, first and foremost the phase of the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO). Transitioning ENSO can affect the ‘clean-ness’ of an MJO unfiltered signal. The 2005 and 2006 summers were not very representative in terms of the MJO signal, with the ENSO phase transitioning from positive to negative (2005) and then from negative to positive (2006). However, evidence of westerly bursts (i.e., eastward moving areas of westerly wind) is nevertheless clear in Fig. 12, par-

ticularly to the west of the Date Line. While the NR underestimated the westerlies' intensity, it is worth noting that only non-propagating stationary waves were detected by this team in other previous global non-coupled simulations (not shown). Being cyclonically-sheared on their northern flank, westerly bursts propagating along the Equator are among the factors that can contribute to increased low-level cyclonic vorticity and therefore to TC-genesis over the western North Pacific (e.g., Hogsett and Zhang 2010; Shu and Zhang 2015).

Aside from clear evidence of westerly bursts, the overall complex interaction between the tropical and extra-tropical atmosphere over the western North Pacific leads to a very large variability of extra-tropical (ET) transition patterns, well documented in literature (e.g., Harr and Dea 2009). Figure 13 and Table 5 demonstrate that the overall TC activity in the NR is reasonable, with 23 TCs instead of 25 observed. The observed TC tracks and center pressures for the western North Pacific, Indian Ocean and Australian basins are obtained from the Joint Typhoon Warning Center (JTWC).² The majority of the TC genesis points occurs between $130^{\circ}E$ and $170^{\circ}E$ and $10^{\circ}N$ and $20^{\circ}N$, indicating that there is a general inability of the model to produce TCs close to the Equator, possibly because of the weaker than observed eastward propagation of westerly bursts as noted in Fig 12) and higher than observed vertical shear (not shown). A similar situation is noted for 2006 (Fig. 14 and Table 6) with 21 simulated TCs against 26 observed. In terms of track distribution, both years show a predominance of west-north-westward tracks with landfall over Philippines and China, and a tendency of northward and northeastward recurvatures north of $25^{\circ}N$. It can be confidently stated that the model reproduces the overall range in track variability.

As for intensity, several TCs in both NR seasons reach center pressure well below 950 hPa. Of particular interest is the intensity of one G5NR typhoon in the 2005 season, and two in the 2006

²available at http://www.usno.navy.mil/NOOC/nmfc-ph/RSS/jtwc/best_tracks/index.html

475 season (Tables 5 and 6) whose center pressure goes below 920 hPa. However, no TC in the NR
476 reaches the most extreme observed value of 898 hPa recorded in both 2005 and 2006.

477 G5NR 2006 western North Pacific TC no. 3 (hereafter G5NR-WP032006, following the JTWC
478 naming conventions) is selected for further investigation. Figure 15 shows the meridional and
479 zonal cross-sections at peak intensity, when center pressure reached the remarkable value, for a
480 global model, of 906 hPa. The cross-sections indicate a high degree of symmetry with a very
481 well-defined eye, a warm core temperature anomaly greater than 14°C , winds exceeding 75m s^{-1}
482 on all four quadrants, and a radius of maximum wind on the order of about 40 km.

483 *d. Tropical Cyclones in the North Indian Ocean*

484 The North Indian Ocean is arguably the most difficult basin for TC forecasting. Aside from well-
485 studied cases in which even the objective analysis of already existing TCs failed to represent TC
486 circulations, as in the infamous 2008 case of Nargis, discussed in Reale et al. (2009), free-running
487 models examined by this team have produced totally inactive North Indian Ocean TC seasons
488 without a single storm, and seasons in which up to 40 TCs were simulated. These unrealistic
489 excesses are probably caused by the extreme sensitivity of any model to small changes in the
490 circulation. In fact, the SSTs over the Indian Ocean are extremely warm (often more than 30°C
491 but the environment is not generally conducive to TC development because of the very strong
492 shear. In fact, during the summer, the combination of the Somali Jet (SJ), southwesterly flow
493 peaking at about 900 hPa, which is particularly important in modulating the Indian Monsoon
494 phases (e.g., Krishnamurti et al. 1976, Halpern and Woiceshyn, 2001) and the TEJ, easterly flow
495 peaking at about 150 hPa, (e.g., Chen and van Loon 1987, Nicholson et al. 2007), creates zonal
496 shear values of up to -40 m s^{-1} or more. In spite of the huge latent and sensible heat fluxes, and
497 the environment being extremely conducive to convection, cyclonic circulations cannot generally

498 overcome the vertical shear except that in rare situations when the shear relaxes. Then very sudden
499 development can occur. In other cases TCs can only maintain shallow structures and any upper-
500 level development is eroded above 300 hPa by the upper-level easterly flow. In this environment,
501 which essentially has a surplus of energy available but hostile dynamical forcings, small errors
502 in the representation of the shear can lead to large errors in the estimate of TC activity. At the
503 same time, long simulations have suggested that TC activity over this region is very sensitive to
504 the model in convective parametrizations. It has been customary for this team, while analyzing
505 previous long simulations, in addition to finding simulated TC activity over the North Indian Ocean
506 ranging from totally inactive to unrealistically hyperactive, to spot simulated TCs in locations
507 where they have never been detected (not shown).

508 With this preliminary discussion, it is now easier to place into context the representation of TC
509 activity in the G5NR over the North Indian Ocean. Figures 16 and 17 compare the representation
510 of the Somali Jet in 2006 (2005 is not significantly different, not shown). It can be noted that
511 the predominantly easterly flow over the Southern Hemisphere is deflected northward and then
512 northeastward by the combining effect of the Indian monsoon low and the orography of eastern
513 Africa, in agreement with observations and other modeling studies (e.g., Chakraborty et al. 2009).
514 The higher resolution of the G5NR allows a sharper depiction of the SJ than MERRA-2, including
515 the well-known bifurcation caused by the ‘Horn of Africa’. Most interesting is the SJ vertical
516 structure. From aircraft measurements acquired during campaigns such as Monsoon Experiment
517 (MONEX 79; e.g., Holt and Sethuraman 1985) it is known that the SJ is a very shallow feature,
518 peaking at about $10^{\circ}N - 15^{\circ}N$ and about 875 hPa and disappearing at about 600 hPa. These
519 features are clearly represented in Fig. 17 and are confirmed by the reanalysis. Also noteworthy are
520 the secondary westerly mid-tropospheric maximum present in the reanalysis at about the Equator

(which was not detected by this team in any previous long global simulations) and the excellent depiction of the TEJ above 200 hPa.

Probably because of the overall realistic rendering of the *mean* SJ and TEJ in the NR, the representation of the North Indian Ocean TC activity, while still not optimal, is definitely improved with respect to previous long simulations. Figures 18 and 19, and Table 7, show a total TC number of 4 simulated versus 7 observed in 2005, and 6 simulated versus 7 observed in 2006 (including Typhoon Dorian which crossed the Malay Peninsula from the Pacific becoming the 7th North Indian Ocean TC for the season).

However, the distribution of TC locations and their tracks differ significantly between the NR and observations, and the fundamentally erratic nature of TC tracks over that basin does not appear to be fully captured. In 2005, observed TC tracks seem to ‘radiate’ from the center of the Bay of Bengal in almost all directions, and that variability is not reproduced by the G5NR. A somehow larger track variability, closer to the observed one, is noted in the 2006 G5NR season. As noted previously, very small lapses in the shear can very quickly trigger a TC genesis process, which limits the overall predictability of northern Indian Ocean TC activity.

In terms of vertical structure, the NR displays a significant number of poorly developed systems, or systems fighting against shear, in agreement with climatology (not shown).

e. Tropical Cyclones in the South Indian Ocean

The southern Indian Ocean is conventionally treated by the Joint Typhoon Warning Center (JTWC) as one of the two basins of the Southern Hemisphere season with the other being the South Pacific basin, having the longitude of $135^{\circ}E$ as separator between the two (e.g., Lander and Guard 2001). However, the TCs affecting the eastern part of the South Indian Ocean are more

often regarded as TCs affecting the Australian region. This article follows the latter convention and plots the TCs over the eastern and western portions of the South Indian ocean separately.

Figures. 20 and 21, and Tables 8 and 9 compare the TCs that formed over the South Indian Ocean (west of $100^{\circ}E$) in the G5NR and in the observations. Specifically, observed TCs 1 to 9 correspond to TCs numbered in the JTWC BT database as 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 12, 14, 16 and 22 in 2005-2006, and to TCs 3, 5, 6, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19 and 22 in 2006-2007, respectively.

The NR produces a very realistic activity, substantially better than over the North Indian Ocean, not just in terms of overall number, but also in terms of track distribution. TCs generally form between $5^{\circ}S$ and $15^{\circ}S$ (except for a few originating west of Madagascar), track southward or westward, gradually recurving eastward under the influence of the westerly flow, and display frequent singularities in their tracks, such as loops, sharp recurvatures and binary interactions. The NR exhibits a very convincing spectrum of TC tracks over this basin. A remarkable TC occurred during the 2006-2007 NR South Indian Ocean season is investigated (TC no. 5 in Fig 21). Because of its exceptional symmetry, both meridional and zonal vertical cross-sections of wind and temperature across the TC, at a mature stage, are shown in Fig. 22, which demonstrates the consistency in TC structures produced by the NR over *all* basins. This particular system is noteworthy, aside from its symmetry and pronounced warm core, because of its winds which exceed 70 m s^{-1} on each quadrant. The eye is very well defined, the radius of maximum wind is on the order of 40 km . Its central pressure reaches 919 hPa. However, as noted for the western North Pacific basin, some observed cyclones reach even deeper values (observed TC no.2 in 2006-2007, 904 hPa).

f. Tropical cyclones in the Australian region

Tropical cyclones over the eastern Indian Ocean and southwestern Pacific are traditionally studied together as TCs of the Australian region. As noted by Hall et al. (2001) the entire northern

566 Australian coastline is affected by landfalls and there are two main cyclogenesis area: a western
 567 one in the Indian Ocean and the Timor Sea, and an eastern one in the Pacific (Coral Sea). More-
 568 over, there are cases of Pacific TCs regenerating in the Indian Ocean after having crossed land
 569 (e.g., McBride and Keenan 1982). The comprehensive climatological assessment of TCs in the
 570 Australian region by Dare and Davidson (2004), including 500 cases and spanning 40 years, de-
 571 scribes, in addition to the eastern and western regions, a third cyclogenetic area to the north of
 572 the Australian coastline at about $135^{\circ}E$. Among the prominent factors affecting the Australian
 573 region TC season are the proximity between the Inter Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) and the
 574 mid-latitude storm track, the presence of a large land mass and an overall monsoonal environment
 575 (e.g., McBride and Keenan 1982; Holland 1984; Dare and Davidson 2004). Other important forc-
 576 ings are the phase of ENSO (e.g., Nicholls 1979; Solow and Nicholls 1990; Catto et al 2012) and
 577 the MJO activity (e.g., Hall et al. 2001). The overall track variability appears to be larger than the
 578 Atlantic or the Pacific and the proximity of the genesis region in the ITCZ to the coastline can lead
 579 to difficult landfall forecasts.

580 In spite of the complexity, the G5NR performs satisfactorily over the region. In Fig. 23 and
 581 Table 10 the comparison between TCs observed in the 2005-2006 season and the ones produced
 582 by the G5NR is provided. As noted before, the JTWC BT database is split into two, to treat
 583 separately the South Indian Ocean from the Australian Region. Therefore the 14 observed TCs
 584 listed in Table 10 correspond, in the JTWC BT database, to TCs 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 17, 18,
 585 19, 20, 21 and 23 for 2005-2006, and to TCs 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23 and 24 for
 586 2006-2007.

587 Two of the three known cyclogenetic regions appear to be present in the simulations, and the
 588 overall track distribution appears to be realistic, including retrograde motion and multiple landfalls

589 with regeneration, which is common for TCs originating close to the coastline. A similar situation
590 can be noted in the 2006-2007 season (Fig. 24 and Table 11).

591 The intensity range is quite reasonable with several NR TCs reaching values lower than 950 hPa
592 during both seasons, in agreement with observations. Somewhat perplexing is the persistence of
593 relatively deep storms inland in the NR, possibly because of insufficient surface drag.

594 **5. Conclusions**

595 OSSEs are a labor-consuming and computer-intensive methodology and benefit from large col-
596 laborative efforts. An essential element for OSSEs is the NR, which needs to satisfy a number of
597 requirements to enable realistic OSSE results.

598 The previous widely used NR produced by the ECMWF has served the OSSE community for
599 a decade, thanks to its outstanding qualities. However, because of the growth in computer power,
600 modeling developments, and improved observing systems, the need for a new NR has become
601 apparent.

602 In recent years, in an attempt to provide a NR usable in state-of-the-art OSSEs, the NASA
603 GMAO has produced and evaluated several runs with a configuration similar to the ECMWF T511
604 NR, but at increasingly higher resolution and extending the integration length to two years. One
605 example of this type of effort is the 14-km horizontal resolution 2-year simulation documented
606 by Putman and Suarez (2011), which represented an important milestone, because it generated,
607 in addition to a climatologically realistic total number of TCs, also a very satisfactory interannual
608 variability in TC activity between 2005 and 2006. Multiple evaluation teams have assessed this
609 and other long simulations as candidate next-generation NRs, paying attention, among several
610 other concerns, to the realism of TC activity.

611 After substantial modeling development the NASA GMAO has finally released for use in OSSEs
612 a 7-km NR which stems from a large collaborative effort, several years of preparation and which
613 has been subjected to an extensive evaluation (Gelaro et al. 2015).

614 The goal of this article is to evaluate the suitability of the G5NR to serve as a NR for OSSEs fo-
615 cused on future instruments targeting TCs. The evaluation is phenomenological and event-focused,
616 and includes comparisons with reanalyses and observed tropical cyclone best track information.
617 As is the case for all evaluations focused on a NR, no direct correspondence with observed events
618 can be expected, but the specific events investigated must fall within an acceptable range of ob-
619 served variability and realism.

620 This article investigates TC activity in all basins: Atlantic, eastern North Pacific, western North
621 Pacific, North Indian Ocean, South Indian Ocean and Australian Region. The investigation shows
622 that the TC activity lies well within the spectrum of observed activity in all basins and also displays
623 a satisfactory degree and sense of variability between the two years. This article also shows that
624 tropical cyclone structure is well represented, with very clear eye features of reasonable scale.
625 The intensity is also very realistic for the resolution of 7km, with center pressures reaching values
626 down to 906 hPa and wind speeds often in excess of 75ms^{-1} . Finally, evidence is provided that
627 the NR TC activity arises out of realistic forcings, and that the major dynamical factors controlling
628 tropical weather are well represented.

629 The evaluation documented in this article confirms that the 7km G5NR provides a significant
630 advance with respect to previous long simulations produced for OSSEs, and may represent a valu-
631 able tool to perform OSSEs focused particularly on future instruments or missions designed to
632 investigate TCs and other high-impact weather systems, such as, but not limited to, CYGNSS.
633 While the 7km resolution may still be not sufficient for certain very high resolution applications

634 investigating future instruments focused on eyewall replacement cycles, the evidence provided
635 suggests that the 7km G5NR could be an excellent framework for further downscaling.

636 *Acknowledgments.* The GEOS-5 Atmospheric General Circulation Model development in the
637 Global Modeling and Assimilation Office is funded by David Considine, under the NASA's Mod-
638 eling, Analysis and Prediction (MAP) program. The authors thank Dr. Ross Hoffman and one
639 anonymous reviewer for helpful suggestions.

- 641 Andersson, E., and M. Masutani (2010), Collaboration on observing system simulation exper-
642 iments (Joint OSSE), ECMWF Newsl., No. 123, pp. 1416, ECMWF, Reading, U. K.
643 Available online at [http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/research/JointOSSEs/
644 publications/JOSSE-Publication-files/Andersson_JOSSE_ECMWF_News_No123.pdf](http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/research/JointOSSEs/publications/JOSSE-Publication-files/Andersson_JOSSE_ECMWF_News_No123.pdf)
- 645 Annane, B., B. McNoldy, J. Delgado, L. Bucci, R. Atlas, and S. Majumdar, 2015: CYGNSS
646 data and surface wind analysis. 19th Conference on Integrated Observing and Assimi-
647 lation Systems for the Atmosphere, Oceans, and Land Surface (IOAS-AOLS), American
648 Meteorological Society, Boston, MA, Phoenix, AZ, poster 238. Manuscript and handout
649 Available online at:
650 <https://ams.confex.com/ams/95Annual/webprogram/Paper251064.html>
- 651 Arnold, C., and C. Dey, 1986: Observing-systems simulation experiments:
652 Past, present, and future. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **67**, 687695,
653 doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1986)067<0687:OSSEPP>2.0.CO;2.
- 654 Asnani, G. C., 2005: *Tropical Meteorology*. Publisher: Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology,
655 Pashan, Pune-411008, India. 3 vols.
- 656 Atlas, R., 1997: Atmospheric observations and experiments to assess their usefulness in data
657 assimilation, *J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn.*, **75(1B)**, 111-130.
- 658 Atlas, R., E. Kalnay, and M. Halem, 1985: Impact of satellite temperature soundings and wind
659 data on numerical weather prediction. *Opt. Eng.*, **24**, 341-346, doi:10.1117/12.7973481.
- 660 —, R. N. Hoffman, Z. Ma, G. D. Emmitt, S. A. Wood Jr., S. Greco, S. Tucker, L. Bucci, B. Annane,
661 R. M. Hardesty, and S. Murillo, 2015: Observing System Simulation Experiments (OSSEs)

to Evaluate the Potential Impact of an Optical Autocovariance Wind Lidar (OAWL) on
Numerical Weather Prediction. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **32**, 15931613.

Berry, G., Thorncroft, C. and Hewson, T. 2006: African easterly waves in 2004 Analysis using
objective techniques. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **133**, 752-766.

Bosilovich, M. G., R. Lucchesi, and M. Suarez, 2015a. MERRA-2: File Spec-
ification. GMAO Office Note No. 9 (Version 1.1). [Available online at
<https://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/pubs/docs/Bosilovich785.pdf>]

Bosilovich, M. G., and Coauthors, 2015b: MERRA-2: Initial evaluation of the climate. Series on
Global Modeling and Data Assimilation Tech. Rep. NASA/TM2015-104606, Vol. 43, 145
pp. [Available online at [http://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/reanalysis/MERRA-2/docs/.](http://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/reanalysis/MERRA-2/docs/)]

Catto, J. L., N. Nicholls, and C. Jakob, 2012: North Australian Sea Surface Temperatures and the
El NiñoSouthern Oscillation in Observations and Models. *J. Climate*, **25**, 50115029.

Chakraborty, A., Nanjundiah, R. S. and Srinivasan, J., 2009: Impact of African orography and the
Indian summer monsoon on the low-level Somali jet. *Int. J. Climatol.*, **29**, 983992. doi:
10.1002/joc.1720

Chan, K. T., and J. C. Chan, 2015: Global climatology of tropical cyclone size as inferred from
QuikSCAT data. *Int. J. Climatol.*, **35**, 48434848, doi:10.1002/joc.4307.

Chavas, D. R., and K. A. Emanuel, 2010: A QuikSCAT climatology of tropical cyclone size.
Geophys. Res. Lett., **37**, L18816, doi:10.1029/2010GL044558.

—, N. Lin, W. Dong, and Y. Lin, 2016: Observed tropical cyclone size revisited. *J. Climate*, **29**,
29232939, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0731.1.

Chen, T-C., and H. van Loon, 1987: Interannual variation of the tropical easterly jet. *Mon. Wea.*
Rev., **115**, 17391759.

Chin, M., P. Ginoux, S. Kinne, O. Torres, B. N. Holben, B. N. Duncan, R. V. Martin, J. A. Logan,
A. Higurashi, and T. Nakajima, 2002: Tropospheric Aerosol Optical Thickness from the
GOCART Model and Comparisons with Satellite and Sun Photometer Measurements. *J.*
Atmos. Sci., **59**, 461483.

Colarco, P., A. da Silva, M. Chin, and T. Diehl, 2010: Online simulations of global aerosol dis-
tributions in the NASA GEOS-4 model and comparisons to satellite and ground-based
aerosol optical depth. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, D14207, doi:10.1029/2009JD012820.

da Silva, A.M., W. Putman, and J. Natalla, 2015. File Specification for the 7-km GEOS-5 Nature
Run, Ganymed Release (Non-hydrostatic 7-km Global Mesoscale Simulation). GMAO
Office Note No. 6, 72 [Available online at: https://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/pubs/office_notes/]

Dare, R. A., and N. E. Davidson, 2004: Characteristics of Tropical Cyclones in the Australian
Region. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **132**, 30493065. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/MWR2834.1>

Draper, C., R. Cullather, R. Koster, G. Vernieres, and Y. Vihlrieve, 2015: Surface Characteristics,
Evaluation of the 7-km GEOS-5 Nature Run. NASA/TM-2014-104606, Vol **36**, 153-191.

Forsythe, J. M., and J. M. Haynes, 2015: CloudSat Observes a Labrador Sea Polar Low. *Bull.*
Amer. Meteor. Soc., **96**, 12291231, doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00058.1.

Gelaro, R., and co-authors, 2015: *Evaluation of the 7-km GEOS-5 Nature*
Run. NASA/TM-2014-104606, Vol **36**, 285 p. [Available online at:
<https://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/pubs/docs/Gelaro736.pdf>.]

Hall, J. D., A. J. Matthews, and D. J. Karoly, 2001: The Modulation of Tropical Cyclone Ac-
tivity in the Australian Region by the MaddenJulian Oscillation. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **129**,
29702982.

707 Hall, N. M. J., G. N. Kiladis, and C. D. Thorncroft, 2006: Three-Dimensional Structure and
 708 Dynamics of African Easterly Waves. Part II: Dynamical Modes. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **63**,
 709 2231-2245.

710 Halpern, D., and P. M. Woiceshyn, 2001: Somali Jet in the Arabian Sea, El Niño, and India
 711 Rainfall. *J. Climate*, **14**, 4344-41.

712 Harr, P. A. and J. M. Dea 2009: Downstream development associated with the extratropical transi-
 713 tion of tropical cyclones over the western north Pacific. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **137**, 1295-1319.

714 Hart, R. E., and J. L. Evans, 2001: A climatology of the extratropi-
 715 cal transition of Atlantic tropical cyclones. *J. Climate*, **14**, 5465-64,
 716 doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<0546:ACOTET>2.0.CO;2

717 Hoffman, R. N., C. Grassotti, R. G. Isaacs, J.-F. Louis, and T. Nehr Korn, 1990: Assessment of the
 718 impact of simulated satellite lidar wind and retrieved 183 GHz water vapor observations
 719 on a global data assimilation system. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **118**, 2513-1542.

720 —, and R. Atlas, 2016: Future Observing System Simulation Experiments. *Bull. Amer. Meteor.*
 721 *Soc.*, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00200.1, in press.

722 Hogsett, W., and D.-L. Zhang, 2010: Genesis of Typhoon Chanchu (2006) from a Westerly Wind
 723 Burst Associated with the MJO. Part I: Evolution of a Vertically Tilted Precursor Vortex,
 724 *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **67**, 3774-3792, doi:10.1175/2010JAS3446.1.

725 Holland, G. J., 1984: On the climatology and structure of tropical cyclones in the Aus-
 726 tralian/southwest Pacific region: I. Data and tropical storms. *Aust. Meteor. Mag.*, **32**,
 727 115.

728 Holt, T., and S. SethuRaman, 1985: Aircraft and ship observations of the mean structure of the
 729 marine boundary layer over the Arabian Sea during MONEX 79. *Boundary-Layer Met.*,
 730 **33**, 259-282.

731 Kiladis, G. N., C. D. Thorncroft, and N. M. J. Hall, 2006: Three-Dimensional Structure and
732 Dynamics of African Easterly Waves. Part I: Observations, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **63**, 2212-2230.

733 Kleist, D. T., and K. Ide, 2015: An OSSE-Based Evaluation of Hybrid Variational Ensemble Data
734 Assimilation for the NCEP GFS. Part I: System Description and 3D-Hybrid Results. *Mon.*
735 *Wea. Rev.*, **143**, 433451.

736 Kocin, P. J., and L. W. Uccellini, 2005: *Northeast Snowstorms*. Vols. 1 and 2, Meteor. Monogr.,
737 No. 54, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 818 pp.

738 Knaff, J. A., S. P. Longmore, and D. A. Molenaar, 2014: An objective satellite-based tropical
739 cyclone size climatology. *J. Climate*, **27**, 455476, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00096.1

740 Krishnamurthy, T. N., J. Molinari, and H.-L. Pan, 1976: Numerical Simulation of the Somali Jet
741 *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **33**, 23502362.

742 Kyle G. S., L. F. Bosart, 2014: The Extratropical Transition of Tropical Cyclone Edisoana (1990).
743 *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **142**, 27722793.

744 Lander M. A., and C. P. Guard, 2001: Western North Pacific, North Indian Ocean, and Southern
745 Hemisphere Tropical Cyclones of 1997. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **129**, 30153036.

746 Ma, Z., L. P. Riish/ojgaard, M. Masutani, J. S. Woollen, and G. D. Emmitt, 2015: Impact of
747 Different Satellite Wind Lidar Telescope Configurations on NCEP GFS Forecast Skill in
748 Observing System Simulation Experiments. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **32**, 478495.

749 Madden, R. A. and P. R. Julian, 1971: Detection of a 4050-day oscillation in the zonal wind in the
750 tropical Pacific. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **28**, 702708.

751 —, and —, 1972: Description of global-scale circulation cells in the tropics with a 4050 day
752 period. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **29**, 11091123.

753 Masutani, M. K., and Coauthors, 2010: Observing system simulation experiments at the
 754 National Centers for Environmental Prediction. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, D07101,
 755 doi:10.1029/2009JD012528.

756 McBride, J. L., and T. D. Keenan, 1982: Climatology of tropical cyclone genesis in the Australian
 757 region. *J. Climatol.*, **2**, 1333

758 McCarty, W., R. M. Errico, R. Gelaro, 2012: Cloud coverage in the Joint OSSE Nature Run. *Mon.*
 759 *Wea. Rev.*, **140**, 1863-1871.

760 Molod, A., M.-J. Kim, J. Nattala, M. Bosilovich, S. Schubert, and W. Chao, 2015: Wind and
 761 Temperature, *Evaluation of the 7-km GEOS-5 Nature Run*. NASA/TM-2014-104606, Vol
 762 **36**, 67-112.

763 Nicholls, N., 1979: A possible method for predicting seasonal tropical cyclone activity in the
 764 Australian region. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **107**, 1221-1224.

765 Nicholson, S. E., 1996: Africa. *Encyclopedia of Climate and Weather*, S. H. Schneider, Ed., Simon
 766 and Schuster, 1319.

767 —, A. I. Barcilon, M. Challa, J. Baum, 2007: Wave Activity on the Tropical Easterly Jet. *J. Atmos.*
 768 *Sci.*, **64**, 2756-2763.

769 —, 2013: The West African Sahel: A Review of Recent Studies on the Rainfall Regime and
 770 Its Interannual Variability. *ISRN Meteorology*, vol. **2013**, Article ID 453521, 32 pp.,
 771 doi:10.1155/2013/453521

772 Nolan, D. S., R. Atlas, K. T. Bhatia, and L. R. Bucci (2013), Development and validation of a
 773 hurricane nature run using the joint OSSE nature run and the WRF model, *J. Adv. Model.*
 774 *Earth Syst.*, **5**, 382405, doi:10.1002/jame.20031.

775 Norris, P., W. McCarty, and R. Errico, 2015: Clouds and Radiation, *Evaluation of the 7-km GEOS-*
 776 *5 Nature Run*. NASA/TM-2014-104606, Vol **36**, 192-112.

777 Ott, L., A. Darmanov, A. da Silva, V. Buchard, and K. Wargan, 2015: Aerosol and Trace Gases,
778 *Evaluation of the 7-km GEOS-5 Nature Run*. NASA/TM-2014-104606, Vol **36**, 243-275.

779 Pasch, R. J., and Coauthors, 2009: Eastern North Pacific hurricane season of 2006. *Mon. Wea.*
780 *Rev.*, **137**, 320, doi:10.1175/2008MWR2508.1.

781 Privé, N. C., R. Errico, and K.-S. Tai, 2013a: The impact of increased frequency of rawinsonde ob-
782 servations on forecast skill investigated with an Observing System Simulation Experiment
783 *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **142**, 1823-1834.

784 —, —, , and —, 2013b: Validation of the forecast skill of the global modeling and assimilation
785 office Observing System Simulation Experiment. *Q. J. Roy. Met. Soc.*, **139**, 1354-1363.

786 —, Y. Xie, J. S. Woollen, et al. 2013c: Evaluation of the Earth Systems Research
787 Laboratorys global Observing System Simulation Experiment system. *Tellus-A*, **65**,
788 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v65i0.19011.

789 —, —, S. Koch, R. Atlas, S. J. Majumdar, and R. N. Hoffman, 2014: An observing System Sim-
790 ulation Experiment for the Unmanned Aircraft System Data Impact on Tropical Cyclone
791 Track Forecasts. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **142**, 4357-4363.

792 —, Coy, L., and R. M. Errico, 2015: Wind and Temperature, *Evaluation of the 7-km GEOS-5*
793 *Nature Run*. NASA/TM-2014-104606, Vol **36**, 30-66.

794 Putman, W. M., and S.-J. Lin, 2007: Finite-volume transport on various cubed-sphere grids. *J.*
795 *Comp. Phys.*, **227** (2007), 55-78.

796 —, and M. Suarez, 2011: Cloud-system resolving simulations with the NASA Goddard Earth
797 Observing System global atmospheric model (GEOS-5), *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **38**, L16809,
798 doi:10.1029/2011GL048438.

799 —, 2015: Overview. *Evaluation of the 7-km GEOS-5 Nature Run*. NASA/TM-2014-104606, Vol
800 **36**, 1-29.

- 801 Rasmussen, E. A., and Turner, J., 2003: *Polar Lows: Mesoscale Weather Systems in the Polar*
802 *Regions*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, p. 612, ISBN 0-521-62430-4.
- 803 Reale, O., and R. Atlas, 2001: Tropical Cyclone-like Vortices in the Extratropics: Observational
804 Evidence and Synoptic Analysis. *Wea. Forecasting*, **16**, 7-34.
- 805 —, J. Terry, M. Masutani, E. Andersson, L. P. Riishojgaard, J. C. Jusem, 2007: Preliminary eval-
806 uation of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Nature
807 Run over the Tropical Atlantic and African Monsoon region. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **34**,
808 L22810, doi:10.1029/2007GL31640.
- 809 —, W. K. Lau, J. Susskind, E. Brin, E. Liu, L. P. Riishojgaard, M. Fuentes, R. Rosenberg,
810 2009: AIRS Impact on the Analysis and Forecast Track of Tropical Cyclone Nargis in
811 a global data assimilation and forecasting system. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **36**, L06812,
812 doi:10.1029/2008GL037122.
- 813 —, W. K. Lau, and A. da Silva, 2011: Impact of interactive aerosol on the African Easterly Jet in
814 the NASA GEOS-5 global forecasting system. *Wea. Forecasting*, **26**, 504-519.
- 815 —, K. M. Lau, A. da Silva, and T. Matsui, 2014: Impact of assimilated and interactive aerosol on
816 tropical cyclogenesis. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **41**, 3282-3288, doi:10.1002/2014GL059918.
- 817 Rienecker, M. M., and Coauthors, 2011: MERRA: NASAs Modern-Era Retrospective Analy-
818 sis for Research and Applications. *J. Climate*, **24**, 3624-3648, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-
819 00015.1.
- 820 Sampson, C. R., and A. J. Schrader, 2000: The Automated Tropical Cyclone Forecasting System
821 (Version 3.2). *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **81**, 1231-1240.
- 822 Solow, A. and N. Nicholls, 1990: The Relationship between the Southern Oscillation and Tropical
823 Cyclone Frequency in the Australian Region. *J. Climate*, **3**, 1097-1101.

824 Shu, S., and F. Zhang, 2015: Influence of equatorial waves on the genesis of super typhoon Haiyan
825 (2013). *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **72**, 45914613.

826 Sinclair, M. R., 1993: Synoptic-scale diagnosis of the extratropical transition of a southwest Pa-
827 cific tropical cyclone. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **121**, 941960.

828 Thorncroft, C. D., J. Hall, and G. N. Kiladis, 2008: Three-dimensional structure and dynamics of
829 African easterly waves. Part III: Genesis. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **65**, 35963607.

830 Wargan, K. and L. Coy, 2016: Strengthening of the Tropopause Inversion Layer during the 2009
831 Sudden Stratospheric Warming: A MERRA-2 Study. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*,
832 **73**, 18711887, doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0333.1

833 Wu, M.-L., O. Reale, S. Schubert, M. J. Suarez, R. Koster, P. Pegion, 2009: African Easterly Jet:
834 Structure and Maintenance. *J. Climate*, **22**, 4459-4480.

835 —, —, —, —, C. Thorncroft, 2012: African Easterly Jet: barotropic instability, waves and cyclo-
836 genesis. *J. Climate*, **25**, 1489-1510.

837 —, —, —, 2013: A characterization of African Easterly Waves on 2.5-6 and 6-9 day time scales.
838 *J. Climate*, **26**, 6750-6774.

839 Zhang, R.-H., and A. J. Busalacchi, 2009: An empirical model for surface wind stress response to
840 SST forcing induced by tropical instability waves (TIWs) in the eastern equatorial Pacific.
841 *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **137**, 20212046, doi:10.1175/2008MWR2712.1.

842 —, 2014: Effects of tropical instability wave (TIW)-induced surface wind feedback in the tropical
843 Pacific Ocean. *Clim. Dyn.*, **42**, 467-485. doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1878-6

844	LIST OF TABLES	
845	Table 1. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2005 Atlantic Season.	40
846	Table 2. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2006 Atlantic Season.	41
847	Table 3. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2005 East Pacific Season.	42
848	Table 4. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2006 East Pacific Season.	43
849	Table 5. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2005 North West Pacific Season.	44
850	Table 6. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2006 North West Pacific Season.	45
851	Table 7. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, North Indian Ocean Seasons: 2005	
852	(above) and 2006 (below)	46
853	Table 8. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2005-2006 South Indian Ocean Sea-	
854	son.	47
855	Table 9. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2006-2007 South Indian Ocean Sea-	
856	son.	48
857	Table 10. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2005-2006 Australian Region Season.	49
858	Table 11. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2006-2007 Australian Region Season.	50

ATLANTIC OCEAN 2005				
NATURE RUN			BEST TRACK	
TC No.	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)
1	0803 15:00 - 0812 05:00	948	0608 18:00 - 0614 06:00	989
2	0813 19:00 - 0817 22:30	931	0628 18:00 - 0630 00:00	1002
3	0822 21:00 - 0825 15:00	972	0703 18:00 - 0711 06:00	991
4	0826 10:00 - 0828 14:30	975	0704 18:00 - 0718 06:00	930
5	0830 13:30 - 0903 22:00	972	0711 00:00 - 0721 12:00	929
6	0904 22:00 - 0916 03:00	938	0721 18:00 - 0731 00:00	997
7	0907 08:00 - 0910 18:30	966	0723 18:00 - 0725 18:00	1005
8	0911 06:00 - 0914 21:00	952	0802 18:00 - 0814 00:00	994
9	0915 00:00 - 0922 13:30	939	0804 18:00 - 0818 12:00	970
10	0915 23:30 - 0923 01:30	951	0822 12:00 - 0823 12:00	998
11	0916 19:30 - 0928 19:30	962	0823 18:00 - 0831 06:00	902
12	0921 04:00 - 0926 09:00	925	0828 12:00 - 0903 18:00	1006
13	0930 12:00 - 1002 16:30	974	0901 12:00 - 0911 18:00	962
14	1003 01:00 - 1008 01:30	949	0905 18:00 - 0912 18:00	979
15	1006 03:30 - 1008 15:30	988	0906 6:00 - 0921 00:00	976
16	1008 22:30 - 1017 15:00	949	0917 12:00 - 0924 06:00	985
17	1018 05:30 - 1025 02:00	942	0918 00:00 - 0926 06:00	895
18	-	-	1001 12:00 - 1005 6:00	977
19	-	-	1005 06:00 - 1007 00:00	1001
20	-	-	1008 06:00 - 1011 12:00	988
21	-	-	1015 18:00 - 1026 18:00	882
22	-	-	1022 12:00 - 1024 18:00	998
23	-	-	1026 18:00 - 1031 00:00	962
24	-	-	1114 00:00 - 1122 00:00	1002
25	-	-	1119 12:00 - 1129 18:00	980
26	-	-	1129 06:00 - 1209 18:00	981
27	-	-	1230 00:00 - 0107 18:00	994

TABLE 1. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2005 Atlantic Season.

ATLANTIC OCEAN 2006				
NATURE RUN			BEST TRACK	
TC No.	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)
1	0818 10:00 - 0830 15:00	944	0610 06:00 - 0618 00:00	969
2	0902 00:00 - 0904 10:30	964	0718 12:00 - 0722 12:00	1000
3	0904 23:00 - 0908 23:30	962	0801 00:00 - 0806 12:00	1001
4	0907 13:00 - 0912 03:30	936	0821 18:00 - 0828 00:00	999
5	0912 15:30 - 0927 12:00	922	0824 18:00 - 0904 06:00	985
6	0917 03:00 - 0920 16:30	934	0903 18:00 - 0916 12:00	963
7	0921 19:00 - 0928 14:00	946	0910 18:00 - 0922 00:00	955
8	0929 04:30 - 1001 17:00	941	0912 12:00 - 0927 12:00	955
9	1006 21:00 - 1009 09:00	971	0927 18:00 - 1003 12:00	985
10	-	-	1103 11:00 - 1108 23:00	948

TABLE 2. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2006 Atlantic Season.

EAST PACIFIC OCEAN 2005				
NATURE RUN			BEST TRACK	
TC No.	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)
1	0729 17:30 - 0804 05:00	975	0517 18:00 - 0521 00:00	982
2	0802 20:30 - 0808 03:30	968	0621 18:00 - 0626 06:00	1000
3	0811 10:00 - 0813 22:30	967	0626 06:00 - 0703 12:00	1000
4	0909 08:00 - 0912 07:00	967	0704 00:00 - 0706 18:00	1002
5	0916 03:00 - 0903 22:00	962	0718 06:00 - 0721 18:00	989
6	0920 07:00 - 0922 13:00	968	0809 12:00 - 0817 12:00	978
7	1007 21:30 - 1010 22:30	968	0811 06:00 - 0815 18:00	1000
8	1022 13:00 - 1025 06:00	958	0819 18:00 - 0828 00:00	970
9	-	-	0825 12:00 - 0902 18:00	1000
10	-	-	0912 00:00 - 0925 00:00	951
11	-	-	0914 18:00 - 0930 18:00	947
12	-	-	0917 12:00 - 0919 00:00	1005
13	-	-	0917 12:00 - 0922 12:00	987
14	-	-	0923 00:00 - 1001 00:00	997
15	-	-	0928 00:00 - 1005 12:00	970

TABLE 3. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2005 East Pacific Season.

EAST PACIFIC OCEAN 2006				
NATURE RUN			BEST TRACK	
TC No.	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)
1	0531 05:30 - 0605 11:00	956	0527 06:00 - 0531 00:00	1002
2	0629 16:00 - 0706 11:30	969	0711 00:00 - 0717 12:00	953
3	0706 16:30 - 0715 02:30	948	0712 00:00 - 0720 00:00	981
4	0714 17:30 - 0719 15:00	966	0716 18:00 - 0728 12:00	933
5	0719 22:00 - 0722 06:30	964	0721 12:00 - 0731 12:00	990
6	0721 09:00 - 0726 18:00	955	0731 18:00 - 0805 18:00	1000
7	0727 09:00 - 0803 18:30	945	0801 00:00 - 0805 00:00	1004
8	0811 08:30 - 0814 06:30	955	0815 18:00 - 0824 06:00	966
9	0812 21:00 - 0815 16:30	970	0821 12:00 - 0829 06:00	955
10	0818 02:30 - 0820 19:30	972	0828 00:00 - 0904 12:00	948
11	0820 00:30 - 0822 09:00	972	0830 00:00 - 0909 06:00	985
12	0902 03:00 - 0912 18:30	935	0913 18:00 - 0917 12:00	952
13	0903 14:30 - 0910 16:00	939	0916 00:00 - 0921 06:00	999
14	0930 14:30 - 1007 03:00	946	1009 00:00 - 1015 18:00	1000
15	1006 09:30 - 1014 22:00	937	1009 18:00 - 1014 18:00	1000
16	1013 09:00 - 1017 01:00	940	1021 06:00 - 1026 06:00	970
17	1020 00:30 - 1022 13:00	988	1108 06:00 - 1110 18:00	1002
18	1022 13:00 - 1025 05:00	976	1113 18:00 - 1120 18:00	965
19	1120 16:30 - 1125 12:30	945	-	-

TABLE 4. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2006 East Pacific Season.

NORTH WEST PACIFIC OCEAN 2005				
NATURE RUN			BEST TRACK	
TC No.	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)
1	0701 05:00 - 0705 11:30	970	0113 00:00 - 0118 18:00	976
2	0705 14:00 - 0708 21:30	983	0312 00:00 - 0317 18:00	963
3	0712 05:30 - 0720 06:30	917	0416 18:00 - 0427 00:00	927
4	0802 21:00 - 0816 08:00	932	0527 12:00 - 0611 00:00	916
5	0803 21:30 - 0806 22:00	981	0710 12:00 - 0719 12:00	898
6	0813 16:00 - 0816 00:30	955	0718 00:00 - 0723 18:00	984
7	0816 18:00 - 0819 15:00	951	0721 12:00 - 0728 00:00	980
8	0817 10:00 - 0825 09:30	937	0728 18:00 - 0731 12:00	991
9	0824 19:00 - 0830 04:30	949	0729 18:00 - 0806 18:00	954
10	0830 16:30 - 0904 08:00	929	0809 18:00 - 0814 00:00	976
11	0901 07:30 - 0903 08:00	996	0818 00:00 - 0827 00:00	916
12	0905 16:00 - 0908 14:00	958	0817 06:00 - 0825 00:00	976
13	0905 18:30 - 0911 11:00	952	0824 18:00 - 0901 18:00	910
14	0906 02:30 - 0911 07:30	947	0828 18:00 - 0907 00:00	898
15	0909 19:30 - 0919 13:30	938	0905 06:00 - 0911 18:00	927
16	0921 16:30 - 0925 10:00	952	0914 06:00 - 0918 12:00	987
17	0926 04:00 - 0929 12:30	960	0920 06:00 - 0927 17:00	954
18	1001 19:00 - 1006 06:00	973	0919 00:00 - 0926 00:00	944
19	1005 12:30 - 1011 10:00	924	0925 00:00 - 1006 18:00	916
20	1007 08:00 - 1012 01:30	924	1006 06:00 - 1008 06:00	1000
21	1009 10:00 - 1016 20:00	930	1010 00:00 - 1019 00:00	927
22	1011 19:00 - 1020 07:30	927	1027 18:00 - 1102 12:00	958
23	1022 01:30 - 1028 02:00	953	1106 12:00 - 1112 12:00	991
24	-	-	1112 00:00 - 1120 18:00	972
25	-	-	1215 12:00 - 1221 06:00	991

TABLE 5. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2005 North West Pacific Season.

NORTH WEST PACIFIC OCEAN				
NATURE RUN 2006			BEST TRACK 2006	
TC No.	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)
1	0504 11:00 - 0514 14:00	932	0301 06:00 - 0307 00:00	997
2	0702 16:30 - 0705 16:30	958	0507 18:00 - 0519 00:00	916
3	0725 05:00 - 0803 08:30	906	0622 18:00 - 0629 06:00	991
4	0728 12:30 - 0731 16:00	961	0629 12:00 - 0710 12:00	910
5	0801 00:00 - 0802 02:00	941	0707 00:00 - 0714 12:00	987
6	0809 03:30 - 0813 11:30	980	0717 00:00 - 0726 00:00	967
7	0819 04:30 - 0827 05:00	930	0728 00:00 - 0805 00:00	972
8	0820 23:30 - 0824 03:00	956	0803 18:00 - 0810 18:00	980
9	0822 19:00 - 0825 15:30	961	0804 00:00 - 0812 06:00	898
10	0828 15:30 - 0901 04:00	970	0805 00:00 - 0811 00:00	984
11	0901 07:00 - 0906 09:00	923	0812 06:00 - 0820 00:00	984
12	0908 16:30 - 0916 05:00	911	0813 06:00 - 0816 12:00	991
13	0923 12:30 - 1001 02:00	924	0822 00:00 - 0825 06:00	1002
14	0929 15:30 - 1006 19:30	939	0909 00:00 - 0917 18:00	922
15	1006 05:00 - 1014 19:00	930	0912 00:00 - 0913 12:00	1000
16	1008 20:00 - 1016 09:00	962	0916 00:00 - 0925 06:00	898
17	1017 17:00 - 1023 23:00	946	0921 06:00 - 0925 00:00	1000
18	1107 01:00 - 1115 19:00	959	0925 06:00 - 1002 00:00	916
19	1108 08:30 - 1118 19:30	947	1003 06:00 - 1006 06:00	997
20	1123 19:30 - 1129 08:30	977	1003 00:00 - 1006 06:00	998
21	1127 10:30 - 1129 13:30	958	1008 12:00 - 1016 12:00	954
22	-	-	1025 00:00 - 1107 12:00	898
23	-	-	1107 06:00 - 1115 00:00	916
24	-	-	1124 12:00 - 1206 06:00	904
25	-	-	1206 00:00 - 1215 00:00	944
26	-	-	1215 18:00 - 1218 18:00	1000

TABLE 6. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2006 North West Pacific Season.

NORTH INDIAN OCEAN				
NATURE RUN 2005			BEST TRACK 2005	
TC No.	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)	Start - end date (mmdd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)
1	1002 20:30 - 1005 01:30	962	0107 00:00 - 0110 12:00	1000
2	1119 06:00 - 1125 19:00	952	0111 18:00 - 0117 06:00	997
3	1204 18:30 - 1207 18:30	982	1001 06:00 - 1003 06:00	994
4	1212 16:30 - 1218 22:30	963	1025 00:00 - 1029 00:00	997
5	-	-	1126 06:00 - 1204 00:00	991
6	-	-	1204 06:00 - 1212 18:00	980
7	-	-	1214 18:00 - 1224 00:00	991
NATURE RUN 2006			BEST TRACK 2006	
1	0311 20:00 - 0315 08:30	966	0112 00:00 - 0119 06:00	991
2	0320 17:30 - 0325 10:30	970	0424 06:00 - 0429 12:00	922
3	1010 01:30 - 1015 02:30	981	0630 18:00 - 0703 12:00	997
4	1105 22:00 - 1109 04:30	952	0919 06:00 - 0926 12:00	984
5	1111 16:00 - 1116 04:30	952	0928 00:00 - 0930 00:00	997
6	1205 02:30 - 1208 02:30	971	1027 06:00 - 1030 12:00	984
7	-	-	1204 12:00 - 1209 18:00	976

TABLE 7. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, North Indian Ocean Seasons: 2005 (above) and 2006 (below) .

SOUTH INDIAN OCEAN				
NATURE RUN 2005-06			BEST TRACK 2005-06	
TC No.	Start - end date (yyyy/mm/dd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)	Start - end date (yyyy/mm/dd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)
1	2005/10/19 02:00 - 2005/10/21 05:00	981	2005/10/13 18:00 - 2005/10/17 06:00	994
2	2005/10/30 18:30 - 2005/11/02 18:00	966	2005/11/04 06:00 - 2005/11/08 06:00	997
3	2005/11/02 07:00 - 2005/11/05 22:30	972	2005/11/18 06:00 - 2005/11/29 06:00	927
4	2005/11/15 15:30 - 2005/11/21 15:00	945	2005/12/17 00:00 - 2005/12/29 18:00	997
5	2005/12/01 15:00 - 2005/12/06 23:00	958	2006/01/22 12:00 - 2006/02/06 06:00	944
6	2006/01/12 12:00 - 2006/01/14 13:30	969	2006/02/18 00:00 - 2006/02/23 18:00	991
7	2006/01/14 19:00 - 2006/01/21 10:00	931	2006/02/22 12:00 - 2006/03/02 18:00	910
8	2006/01/15 13:30 - 2006/01/22 04:00	939	2006/03/01 06:00 - 2006/03/09 06:00	984
9	2006/01/26 10:00 - 2006/02/03 02:30	944	2006/04/03 12:00 - 2006/04/16 12:00	985
10	2006/02/05 22:00 - 2006/02/14 00:00	949	-	-
11	2006/02/12 18:00 - 2006/02/21 16:00	937	-	-
12	2006/02/13 20:30 - 2006/02/22 08:00	916	-	
13	2006/02/28 12:00 - 2006/03/04 14:30	930	-	
14	2006/03/04 03:30 - 2006/03/12 17:00	923	-	
15	2006/03/14 01:30 - 2006/03/18 23:30	955	-	
16	2006/03/20 10:30 - 2006/03/22 19:00	972	-	
17	2006/03/24 16:30 - 2006/03/27 12:00	982	-	
18	2006/04/03 08:30 - 2006/04/06 23:00	954	-	

TABLE 8. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2005-2006 South Indian Ocean Season.

SOUTH INDIAN OCEAN				
NATURE RUN 2006-07			BEST TRACK 2006-07	
TC No.	Start - end date (yyyy/mm/dd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)	Start - end date (yyyy/mm/dd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)
1	2006/11/21 07:00 - 2006/11/25 03:30	973	2006/11/27 06:00 - 2006/12/04 00:00	989
2	2006/12/20 00:30 - 2006/12/24 17:00	934	2006/12/16 00:00 - 2006/12/26 18:00	904
3	2006/12/28 04:00 - 2006/12/30 16:00	980	2006/12/29 12:00 - 2007/01/04 18:00	976
4	2007/01/10 10:00 - 2007/01/16 20:00	933	2006/01/28 06:00 - 2007/02/10 00:00	927
5	2007/01/15 19:30 - 2007/01/25 20:30	919	2007/02/05 18:00 - 2007/02/12 00:00	981
6	2007/01/24 17:00 - 2007/01/31 12:00	938	2007/02/11 18:00 - 2007/02/23 12:00	922
7	2007/02/03 14:00 - 2007/02/09 01:00	924	2007/02/19 06:00 - 2007/03/03 00:00	938
8	2007/02/14 23:30 - 2007/02/24 01:00	940	2007/02/20 18:00 - 2007/03/01 06:00	963
9	2007/02/15 18:30 - 2007/02/27 00:00	914	2007/03/07 00:00 - 2007/03/17 06:00	927
10	2007/03/06 18:00 - 2007/03/14 16:30	949	-	-
11	2007/03/07 18:30 - 2007/03/12 01:00	959	-	-
12	2007/03/15 01:00 - 2007/03/19 12:00	965	-	-

TABLE 9. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2006-2007 South Indian Ocean Season.

AUSTRALIAN REGION 2005-2006				
NATURE RUN			BEST TRACK	
TC No.	Start - end date (yyyy/mm/dd hh)	Min SLP (hPa)	Start - end date (yyyy/mm/dd hh)	Min SLP (hPa)
1	2005/11/12 16:00 - 2005/11/15 15:30	975	2006/01/06 18:00 - 2006/01/10 18:00	980
2	2005/11/22 19:00 - 2005/11/29 06:00	959	2006/01/11 06:00 - 2006/01/14 06:00	994
3	2005/11/26 14:00 - 2005/11/29 06:30	968	2006/01/13 12:00 - 2006/01/15 06:00	980
4	2005/12/18 18:00 - 2005/12/22 00:00	982	2006/01/18 12:00 - 2006/01/23 12:00	987
5	2005/12/22 08:00 - 2005/12/31 19:30	975	2006/01/27 00:00 - 2006/02/02 18:00	963
6	2005/12/26 15:30 - 2005/12/31 17:00	975	2006/02/10 18:00 - 2006/02/17 18:00	967
7	2006/01/04 07:00 - 2006/01/07 02:00	984	2006/02/22 06:00 - 2006/02/24 00:00	989
8	2006/01/07 15:00 - 2006/01/09 21:00	986	2006/02/26 18:00 - 2006/02/28 12:00	997
9	2006/01/08 12:30 - 2006/01/21 19:30	949	2006/03/16 12:00 - 2006/03/21 06:00	937
10	2006/01/18 10:00 - 2006/01/23 07:30	987	2006/03/18 00:00 - 2006/03/25 06:00	963
11	2006/02/08 09:00 - 2006/02/11 15:00	980	2006/03/19 00:00 - 2006/03/27 00:00	927
12	2006/02/09 10:30 - 2006/02/15 11:00	938	2006/03/23 12:00 - 2006/03/31 00:00	922
13	2006/02/17 05:00 - 2006/02/23 01:30	937	2006/04/03 00:00 - 2006/04/08 06:00	984
14	2006/02/26 18:00 - 2006/03/01 06:30	935	2006/04/16 18:00 - 2006/04/26 18:00	879
15	2006/03/01 10:30 - 2006/03/07 08:00	985	-	-
16	2006/03/04 07:30 - 2006/03/06 21:30	961	-	-
17	2006/04/19 22:00 - 2006/04/29 17:00	905	-	-

TABLE 10. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2005-2006 Australian Region Season.


AUSTRALIAN REGION 2006-2007				
NATURE RUN			BEST TRACK	
TC No.	Start - end date (yyyy/mm/dd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)	Start - end date (yyyy/mm/dd hh:hh)	Min SLP (hPa)
1	2007/01/02 00:00 - 2007/01/06 03:00	932	2006/10/20 12:00 - 2006/10/26 06:00	927
2	2007/01/08 18:30 - 2007/01/10 20:30	985	2006/11/18 18:00 - 2006/11/27 00:00	972
3	2007/01/09 16:00 - 2007/01/18 09:30	941	2006/12/01 00:00 - 2006/12/03 00:00	997
4	2007/01/16 06:30 - 2007/01/24 21:00	949	2006/12/31 00:00 - 2007/01/03 06:00	994
5	2007/01/16 20:30 - 2007/01/24 10:00	940	2007/01/21 06:00 - 2007/01/24 12:00	980
6	2007/01/29 06:00 - 2007/02/06 23:30	940	2007/01/21 06:00 - 2007/01/27 06:00	974
7	2007/02/01 13:30 - 2007/02/07 04:30	920	2007/02/01 18:00 - 2007/02/05 18:00	997
8	2007/02/13 10:30 - 2007/02/15 15:00	983	2007/02/02 18:00 - 2007/02/07 06:00	987
9	2007/02/18 13:00 - 2007/02/21 19:30	970	2007/02/28 06:00 - 2007/03/10 00:00	941
10	2007/02/25 13:00 - 2007/03/01 09:30	941	2007/03/03 00:00 - 2007/03/12 18:00	967
11	2007/03/02 16:30 - 2007/03/07 17:30	981	2007/03/24 06:00 - 2007/03/29 06:00	944
12	2007/03/09 15:00 - 2007/03/11 23:00	960	2007/03/24 18:00 - 2007/03/29 18:00	970
13	2007/03/13 19:00 - 2007/03/21 04:00	941	2007/04/02 18:00 - 2007/04/06 18:00	982
14	2007/03/21 10:30 - 2007/03/29 21:00	927	2007/05/15 12:00 - 2007/05/23 00:00	1000
15	2007/04/06 01:00 - 2007/04/11 17:30	917	-	-
16	2007/04/26 19:30 - 2007/04/29 22:30	972	-	-
17	2007/05/03 00:30 - 2007/05/05 19:30	989	-	-

TABLE 11. Simulated (NR) and observed (BT) TCs, 2006-2007 Australian Region Season.


LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.	Vertical meridional cross section of zonal wind at 0° longitude (ms^{-1}) in the G5NR (left) and MERRA-2 (right), for 2005 (above) and 2006 (below).	53
Fig. 2.	African easterly wave activity in the G5NR (above) and in MERRA-2 (below): Hovmöller plots of meridional winds at about 700 hPa (level 55), August 2005. Time increasing upwards.	54
Fig. 3.	Same as Fig 2, but for 2006.	55
Fig. 4.	Simulated and observed 2005 Atlantic TCs from the G5NR (a) and the NHC best tracks (b). Individual cyclone track colors indicate center pressure from the 7 km output. Open circles are drawn at the beginning of tracks. Corresponding start and end dates are listed in Table 1.	56
Fig. 5.	As in Fig 4, but for 2006. Corresponding dates in Table 2.	57
Fig. 6.	Structure of G5NR 2005 Atlantic TC no. 2, (see Fig 4 and Table 1). Zonal vertical cross-sections of winds (shaded, ms^{-1}) and temperature (black contours, $^\circ C$). Temperature anomalies ($^\circ C$, red thick contours, contours every $2^\circ C$, only values $> 8^\circ C$ are plotted for clarity), with respect to a zonal mean within 10° of the TC center. Vertical coordinate in model levels. Levels 72, 50 and 40 correspond to nominal pressures of 985.00 hPa, 487.500 hPa and 127.837 hPa respectively, at the top edge of the layer. Full conversion table in da Silva et al. (2015).	58
Fig. 7.	Structure of G5NR 2005 Atlantic TC no. 12, hereafter G5NR AL122005, during its mature phase. Above: as Fig. 6. Below: map of total wind (shaded, ms^{-1}) at maximum wind level (approx. 900 hPa) with superimposed $17 ms^{-1}$, $25 ms^{-1}$ and $32 ms^{-1}$ isotachs (solid).	59
Fig. 8.	Sea level pressure and 10m wind transects for G5NR AL122005, at the same time and latitude as in Fig. 7. Radii of wind at $17 ms^{-1}$, $25 ms^{-1}$ and $32 ms^{-1}$ ($R17$, $R25$, $R32$) are shown with orange, red and magenta lines.	60
Fig. 9.	Hourly accumulated precipitation (mmh^{-1}) for G5NR 2006 Atlantic TC no. 4 (see Fig. 5 and Table 2), compared with NEXRAD level 3 accumulated hourly precipitation for Katrina at 12:30Z 29 August 2005.	61
Fig. 10.	Simulated and observed 2005 eastern North Pacific TCs from the G5NR (a) and best tracks (b). Colors as in Fig 4. Corresponding dates in Table 3.	62
Fig. 11.	As in Fig. 10, but for 2006. Corresponding dates in Table 4.	63
Fig. 12.	Hovmöller of Equatorial low-level zonal wind in JJA 2006 across the central Pacific from the G5NR (left, at level 70) and from MERRA-2 (right, at 950 hPa).	64
Fig. 13.	Simulated and observed 2005 western North Pacific TCs from the G5NR (a) and best tracks (b). Colors as in Fig 4. Corresponding dates in Table 5.	65
Fig. 14.	As in Fig. 13, but for 2006. Corresponding dates in Table 6. Observed TC 24 (named Typhoon Dorian) will enter into the North Indian Ocean as TC no. 7.	66
Fig. 15.	Structure of G5NR 2006 western North Pacific TC no. 3 (G5NR-WP032006) (Fig 14 and Table 6). As in Fig 6, except that both zonal and meridional vertical cross-sections are	

899	plotted, and temperature anomalies are in grey thick contours (every 4°C , only values $>$	
900	10°C for clarity).	67
901	Fig. 16. Wind in July 2006 at 900 hPa across the Indian Ocean in the G5NR (above) and in MERRA-	
902	2 (below).	68
903	Fig. 17. Vertical meridional cross section of the Somali Jet in July 2006 and at longitude 55°E in the	
904	G5NR (above) and in MERRA-2 (below).	69
905	Fig. 18. Simulated and observed 2005 North Indian Ocean TCs from the G5NR (a) and BT (b).	
906	Colors as in Fig. 4. Corresponding dates in Table 7.	70
907	Fig. 19. Simulated and observed 2006 North Indian Ocean TCs from the G5NR (a) and BT (b).	
908	Colors as in Fig. 4. Corresponding dates in Table 7. Observed data show Typhoon Dorian	
909	(renamed TC 7) crossing the Malay peninunsula from the Pacific and entering the Bay of	
910	Bengal as a tropical depression	71
911	Fig. 20. Simulated and observed 2005-2006 South Indian Ocean TCs from the G5NR (a) and BT (b).	
912	Colors as in Fig. 4. Corresponding dates in Table 8.	72
913	Fig. 21. Simulated and observed 2006-2007 South Indian Ocean TCs from the G5NR (a) and BT (b).	
914	Colors as in Fig. 4. Corresponding dates in Table 9.	73
915	Fig. 22. Structure of G5NR 2006-2007 South Indian Ocean TC no. 5 (Fig 21 and Table 9). As in	
916	Fig. 6, except that both zonal and meridional vertical cross-sections are plotted.	74
917	Fig. 23. Simulated and observed 2005-2006 Australian region TCs from the G5NR (a) and BT (b).	
918	Colors as in Fig. 4. Corresponding dates in Table 10.	75
919	Fig. 24. Simulated and observed 2006-2007 Australian region TCs from the G5NR (a) and BT (b).	
920	Colors as in Fig. 4. Corresponding dates in Table 11.	76



921 FIG. 1. Vertical meridional cross section of zonal wind at 0° longitude ($m s^{-1}$) in the G5NR (left) and
 922 MERRA-2 (right), for 2005 (above) and 2006 (below).



923 FIG. 2. African easterly wave activity in the G5NR (above) and in MERRA-2 (below): Hovmøller plots of
 924 meridional winds at about 700 hPa (level 55), August 2005. Time increasing upwards.




FIG. 3. Same as Fig 2, but for 2006.




FIG. 4. Simulated and observed 2005 Atlantic TCs from the G5NR (a) and the NHC best tracks (b). Individual cyclone track colors indicate center pressure from the 7 km output. Open circles are drawn at the beginning of tracks. Corresponding start and end dates are listed in Table 1.




FIG. 5. As in Fig 4, but for 2006. Corresponding dates in Table 2.




FIG. 6. Structure of G5NR 2005 Atlantic TC no. 2, (see Fig 4 and Table 1). Zonal vertical cross-sections of winds (shaded, $m s^{-1}$) and temperature (black contours, $^{\circ}C$). Temperature anomalies ($^{\circ}C$, red thick contours, contours every $2^{\circ}C$, only values $> 8^{\circ}C$ are plotted for clarity), with respect to a zonal mean within 10° of the TC center. Vertical coordinate in model levels. Levels 72, 50 and 40 correspond to nominal pressures of 985.00 hPa, 487.500 hPa and 127.837 hPa respectively, at the top edge of the layer. Full conversion table in da Silva et al. (2015).




FIG. 7. Structure of G5NR 2005 Atlantic TC no. 12, hereafter G5NR AL122005, during its mature phase.
 Above: as Fig. 6. Below: map of total wind (shaded, $m s^{-1}$) at maximum wind level (approx. 900 hPa) with
 superimposed $17 m s^{-1}$, $25 m s^{-1}$ and $32 m s^{-1}$ isotachs (solid).




FIG. 8. Sea level pressure and 10m wind transects for G5NR AL122005, at the same time and latitude as in Fig. 7. Radii of wind at 17 m s^{-1} , 25 m s^{-1} and 32 m s^{-1} ($R17$, $R25$, $R32$) are shown with orange, red and magenta lines.




FIG. 9. Hourly accumulated precipitation (mmh^{-1}) for G5NR 2006 Atlantic TC no. 4 (see Fig. 5 and Table 2), compared with NEXRAD level 3 accumulated hourly precipitation for Katrina at 12:30Z 29 August 2005.




FIG. 10. Simulated and observed 2005 eastern North Pacific TCs from the G5NR (a) and best tracks (b).
Colors as in Fig 4. Corresponding dates in Table 3.




FIG. 11. As in Fig. 10, but for 2006. Corresponding dates in Table 4.





FIG. 12. Hovmöller of Equatorial low-level zonal wind in JJA 2006 across the central Pacific from the G5NR (left, at level 70) and from MERRA-2 (right, at 950 hPa).



947 FIG. 13. Simulated and observed 2005 western North Pacific TCs from the G5NR (a) and best tracks (b).
 948 Colors as in Fig 4. Corresponding dates in Table 5.




FIG. 14. As in Fig. 13, but for 2006. Corresponding dates in Table 6. Observed TC 24 (named Typhoon Dorian) will enter into the North Indian Ocean as TC no. 7.




FIG. 15. Structure of G5NR 2006 western North Pacific TC no. 3 (G5NR-WP032006) (Fig 14 and Table 6).
 As in Fig 6, except that both zonal and meridional vertical cross-sections are plotted, and temperature anomalies
 are in grey thick contours (every 4°C , only values $> 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ for clarity).




FIG. 16. Wind in July 2006 at 900 hPa across the Indian Ocean in the G5NR (above) and in MERRA-2 (below).




FIG. 17. Vertical meridional cross section of the Somali Jet in July 2006 and at longitude $55^{\circ}E$ in the G5NR (above) and in MERRA-2 (below).




FIG. 18. Simulated and observed 2005 North Indian Ocean TCs from the G5NR (a) and BT (b). Colors as in
 Fig. 4. Corresponding dates in Table 7.




FIG. 19. Simulated and observed 2006 North Indian Ocean TCs from the G5NR (a) and BT (b). Colors as in Fig. 4. Corresponding dates in Table 7. Observed data show Typhoon Dorian (renamed TC 7) crossing the Malay peninsunsla from the Pacific and entering the Bay of Bengal as a tropical depression




FIG. 20. Simulated and observed 2005-2006 South Indian Ocean TCs from the G5NR (a) and BT (b). Colors as in Fig. 4. Corresponding dates in Table 8.




FIG. 21. Simulated and observed 2006-2007 South Indian Ocean TCs from the G5NR (a) and BT (b). Colors as in Fig. 4. Corresponding dates in Table 9.




FIG. 22. Structure of G5NR 2006-2007 South Indian Ocean TC no. 5 (Fig 21 and Table 9). As in Fig. 6,
except that both zonal and meridional vertical cross-sections are plotted.




FIG. 23. Simulated and observed 2005-2006 Australian region TCs from the G5NR (a) and BT (b). Colors as in Fig. 4. Corresponding dates in Table 10.




FIG. 24. Simulated and observed 2006-2007 Australian region TCs from the G5NR (a) and BT (b). Colors as in Fig. 4. Corresponding dates in Table 11.